

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

DUE DATE: HISL FORMALITIES: PAT. OFF: MRB Buckinghamshire HP7 9NA ON DB: GRANDE BRETAGNE PLT PA0254 CASE NO:



NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 18.11.2004 Applicant's or agent's file reference PA0254-PCT IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB 03/03196 28.07.2003 30.07.2002 Applicant AMERSHAM BIOSCIENCES UK LIMITED

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

To:

Franks, Barry

Little Chalfont

AMERSHAM PLC

Amersham Place

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Cherqui, E

Tel. +31 70 340-2643



PCT

REC'D	1	7	NOV	2004
::IPO				PÇT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	Applicant's or agent's file reference PA0254-PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
	onal appli		International filing date (day	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.07.2002	
PCT/GB 03/03196			28.07.2003		30.07.2002	
Internation CO9B2		nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification and	IPC		
Applicar AMER		BIOSCIENCES UK LI	MITED			
1. T	his internation	ational preliminary examined is transmitted to the	mination report has been p applicant according to Art	repared by this licle 36.	International Preliminary Examining	
2. T	. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
Е	heer	amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sh basis for this report and/on n 607 of the Administrative	sheets containing	ription, claims and/or drawings which have ng rectifications made before this Authority der the PCT).	
Т	hese anr	nexes consist of a total	of sheets.			
						
з. т	This repoi	t contains indications re	elating to the following item	es:		
3. T	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion	elating to the following item	s:		
	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion Priority				
] []	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to nov		ep and industrial applicability	
1 11	⊠ I □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent	opinion with regard to nov ion	elty, inventive st		
1 11 11	⊠ □ □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement	opinion with regard to nov ion	elty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability y, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
1 11 11 11	⊠ I □ II □ V □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement	opinion with regard to nov ion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with ions supporting such state	elty, inventive st		
1 11 11 11		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit	opinion with regard to nov ion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with ions supporting such state	elty, inventive st		
1 11 11 11		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the	opinion with regard to novion ion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with ions supporting such state	elty, inventive st regard to novelt ment		
i ii i		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations	opinion with regard to novicon under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with ions supporting such state ted international application on the international applica	elty, inventive st regard to novelt ment ation	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
i ii i		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the	opinion with regard to novicon under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with ions supporting such state ted international application on the international applica	elty, inventive st regard to novelt ment	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
i ii i	II	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations	opinion with regard to novion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such state ted international application on the international applica	elty, inventive st regard to novelt ment ation	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
	I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations on of the demand	opinion with regard to novicon under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such state ted international application on the international applica	elty, inventive st regard to novelt ment ation	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
	I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations on of the demand g address of the internatio lining authority: cropean Patent Office - P.E.	opinion with regard to novicon under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such state ted international application on the international applica	elty, inventive st regard to novelt ment ation Date of completion 18.11.2004	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
	I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement citations and explanat Certain documents cit Certain defects in the Certain observations on of the demand	opinion with regard to novicon under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such state ted international application on the international applica	elty, inventive st regard to novelt ment ation	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/03196

I.	Basis	of the	e report
----	-------	--------	----------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages					
	1-2	26	as originally filed				
	Cla	aims, Numbers					
	1-1	9	as originally filed				
2.	. Wi lan	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
			vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
			lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
			anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under				
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any nucl e ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
			e international application in computer readable form.				
			ntly to this Authority in written form.				
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		·					
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	itional observations i	f necessary:				



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/03196

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-19

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: SCHULER BENJAMIN ET AL: "Specific labeling of polypeptides at amino-terminal cysteine residues using Cy5-benzyl thioester" BIOCONJUGATE CHEMISTRY., vol. 13, no. 5, 18 July 2002 (2002-07-18), pages 1039-43, XP002259205 AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, WASHINGTON., US ISSN: 1043-1802

Although some unclarity can be seen in the formulation of present claim 1, especially the reference to the desirable characteristic or property of a group "suitable for covalent reaction with a thioester", the International Search Report has not revealed any document disclosing subject-matter detrimental for the assessment of Novelty of the present application. In particular no document discloses cyanine dyes containing a target bonding group such as a carboxylic acid thioester group or a 1,2-aminothiol group and an affinity tag. The subject-matter of the present application is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses the labelling of polypeptides at amino-terminal cysteine residues using Cy5benzyl thioester.

The subject-matter of the present application differs from D1 in that the claimed compounds additionally contain an affinity tag which is advantageous for the separation and purification of the dye-labelled target.

The problem to be solved can be seen in the provision of cyanine dyes reporters allowing sitespecific labelling of proteins or peptides and enabling easy separation and purification of the labelled proteins or peptides.

Since there is no indication in the prior art that could have lead a skilled person to modify compounds such as those disclosed in D1 by incorporation of an affinity tag, the subjectmatter of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).